

**FLORIDA ATLANTIC UNIVERSITY
NOTICE OF PROPOSED REGULATION AMENDMENT**

Date: May 6, 2022

REGULATION TITLE AND NUMBER: Code of Academic Integrity (4.001)

SUMMARY: The University seeks to amend FAU Regulation 4.001, *Code of Academic Integrity*, which describes the University's

Florida Atlantic University

Regulation 4.001

Code of Academic Integrity

(1) Purpose. Students at Florida Atlantic University are expected to maintain the highest ethical standards. Dishonesty is considered a serious breach of these ethical standards, because it interferes with the University mission to provide a high quality education in which ~~all~~ students enjoys an unfair advantage. Examples of academic dishonesty include:

but are not limited to, the following:

1. Cheating
 - a) The unauthorized use of notes, books, electronic devices, or other study aids while taking an examination or working on an assignment.
 - b) Providing unauthorized assistance to or receiving assistance from another ~~student~~ person or entity during an examination or while working on an assignment.
 - c) Having someone take an exam or complete an assignment in one's place.
 - d) Securing an exam, receiving an unauthorized copy of an exam, ~~or~~ sharing a copy of an exam or uploading an exam or exam questions online.
2. Plagiarism
 - a) The presentation of words from any other source or another person as one's own without proper quotation and citation.
 - b) Putting someone else's ideas or facts into your own words (paraphrasing) without proper citation.
 - c) Turning in someone else's work as one's own, including the buying and selling of term papers or assignments.

3. Other Forms of Dishonesty

- a) Falsifying or inventing information, data, or citations.
- b) Failing to comply with examination regulations or failing to obey the instructions of an examination proctor.
- c) Submitting the same paper or assignment, or part thereof, in more than one class without the written consent of both instructors.
- d) Any other form of academic cheating, plagiarism, or dishonesty.

(3) Procedures.

(A) If the instructor determines that there is sufficient evidence to believe that a student engaged in dishonesty, the instructor will meet with the student at the earliest possible opportunity and provide notice to the student of their ~~instructor's~~ perception of the facts, the charges against the student, and the sanction. If the student fails to respond to the instructor's written request for a meeting within ten (10) business days from the date of the request, the instructor will proceed with the written notice of allegation in accordance with paragraph (3)(B), below. The instructor may not remove the student from the course until the appeal process has come to a conclusion.

(B) If, after this meeting, the instructor continues to believe that the student engaged in dishonesty, or if the student failed to respond to the instructor's request for a meeting, the instructor will provide the student written notice of the ~~charges-allegation, and~~ the penalty, and a link to this regulation. The notice of allegation should be provided within ten (10) business days of the meeting with the instructor, unless the instructor notifies the student that additional time is needed. A copy of this ~~allegation-statement~~ shall be sent to the chair of the department or director of the school/program administering the course.

(C) The student is entitled to an opportunity to be heard at a meeting with the instructor and chair/director to review and discuss the ~~allegation/instructor's charges/statement~~. Such request for a meeting must be made in writing and received by the chair/director within five (5) business days of receipt of the ~~instructor's charges/statement~~ notice of allegation. The purpose of the meeting is to discuss the facts and to advise the student of the appeal process. The chair/director will provide the student, the instructor, and the dean of the college administering the course a summary of both the student's position and the instructor's position.

1. The purpose of the meeting is to discuss the facts, explore potential resolution of the allegation, and advise the student of the appeal process. The chair/director will not issue a decision on the allegation without the mutual agreement of the student and the instructor.

2. If the allegation is not resolved during the meeting, the chair/director will provide the student, the instructor, and the dean of the college administering the course a summary of both the student's position and the instructor's position. The summary should be provided within ten (10) days after the meeting with the chair/director, unless the chair/director notifies the student that additional time is needed.

(D) If the allegation is not resolved at the meeting with the chair/director, ~~t~~The student may appeal in writing to the dean of the college administering the course. The appeal must be received by the dean within five (5) business days of receipt of the chair/director's summary from the review meeting. The dean will convene a Faculty-Student Council ("Council"), which will be composed of the dean (or designee), two faculty membe

occurred. ~~Upon successful completion of this~~**If the student fails to complete the Academic Integrity Seminar, the notation regarding the violation of the Code of Academic Integrity will remain permanently on the student's**